

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** : Marilyn E. Shade, et al.      **Art Unit** : 2178  
**Serial No.** : 09/964,293      **Examiner** : Manglesh M. Patel  
**Filed** : September 25, 2001      **Confirmation No.:** 1631  
                                          **Notice of Allowance Date:** April 11, 2006  
**Title** : TEXT COMPOSITION SPACING AMOUNT SETTING DEVICE WITH ICON  
                                          INDICATORS

**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

**Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**


## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed April 11, 2006, enclosed are a completed issue fee transmittal form PTOL-85b (1 page), Comments on Examiner's Reasons for Allowance (1 page), and an Application for Patent Term Adjustment and exhibits (205 pages).

Please charge the required issue fee of \$1400, Publication fee of \$300, and petition fee of \$200, as well as any additional charges or credits to our Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 10, 2006

  
William E. Hunter  
Reg. No. 47,671

**Customer Number 021876**  
**Fish & Richardson P.C.**  
**Telephone: (858) 678-5070**  
**Facsimile: (858) 678-5099**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Marilyn E. Shade, et al. Art Unit : 2178  
Serial No. : 09/964,293 Examiner : Manglesh M. Patel  
Filed : September 25, 2001 Confirmation No.: 1631  
Notice of Allowance Date: April 11, 2006  
Title : TEXT COMPOSITION SPACING AMOUNT SETTING DEVICE WITH ICON INDICATORS

**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

**Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed.


Applicant does not concede that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. The claims may be allowable for other reasons as well. In particular, Applicant does not concede that all of the limitations identified by the Examiner are necessary to distinguish the prior art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. In addition, the Examiner does not assert, and Applicant would not concede, that the Examiner's reasons have any bearing on the patentability of claims in any other applications directed to the disclosed subject matter.

In addition, each dependent claim stands on its own and is allowable on its own merits. In particular, each dependent claim may be allowable on the basis of a combination of some of the features recited in the dependent claim and its base claim(s), which combination of features may not include all of the limitations identified in the Examiner's reasons for allowance.

Please apply any charges or credits related to this paper to our Deposit Account  
No. 06-1050.

**Respectfully submitted,**

Date: July 10, 2006

  
William E. Hunter  
Reg. No. 47,671

**Customer Number 021876**  
**Fish & Richardson P.C.**  
**Telephone: (858) 678-5070**  
**Facsimile: (858) 678-5099**  
10646769.doc